

The Crossed Product by a Partial Endomorphism and the Covariance Algebra

D. Royer¹

Abstract

Given a local homeomorphism $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ where $U \subseteq X$ is clopen and X is a compact and Hausdorff topological space, we obtain the possible transfer operators L_ρ which may occur for $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(U)$ given by $\alpha(f) = f \circ \sigma$. We obtain examples of partial dynamical systems (X_A, σ_A) such that the construction of the covariance algebra $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A)$ and the crossed product by partial endomorphism $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L)$ associated to this system are not equivalent, in the sense that there does not exist invertible function $\rho \in C(U)$ such that $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L_\rho) = C^*(X_A, \sigma)$.

1 Introduction

We start with a summary of the construction of the crossed product by a partial endomorphism. Details may be seen in [3]. A partial C^* -dynamical system (A, α, L) consists of a (closed) ideal I of a C^* -algebra A , a idempotent self-adjoint ideal J of I (not necessarily closed), a $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(I)$ and a linear positive map (which preserves $*$) $L : J \rightarrow A$ such that $L(a\alpha(b)) = L(a)b$ for each $a \in J$ and $b \in A$. The map L is called *transfer operator*. Define in J an inner product (which may be degenerated) by $(x, y) = L(x^*y)$. Then we obtain an inner product $\langle, \rangle$ in the quotient $J_0 = J/\{x \in J : L(x^*x) = 0\}$ defined by $\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle = L(x^*y)$, which induces a norm $\| \cdot \|$. Define $M = \overline{J_0}^{\| \cdot \|}$, which is a right Hilbert A -module and also a left A -module, where the left multiplication is defined by the $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow L(M)$ (the adjointable operators in M), where $\varphi(a)(\tilde{x}) = \widetilde{ax}$ for each $x \in J$. The Toeplitz algebra associated to (A, α, L) is the universal C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ generated by $A \cup M$ with the relations of A , of M , the bi-module products and $m^*n = \langle m, n \rangle$.

¹Supported by Cnpq

A redundancy in $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L_L)$ is a pair $(a, k) \in A \times \widehat{K_1}$, ($\widehat{K_1} = \overline{\text{span}}\{mn^*, m, n \in M\}$), such that $am = km$ for every $m \in M$. The *Crossed Product by a Partial Endomorphism* $\mathcal{O}(A, \alpha, L)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha, L)$ by the ideal generated by all the elements $a - k$ where (a, k) is a redundancy and $a \in \ker(\varphi)^{-1} \cap \varphi^{-1}(K(M))$.

In [3] it was defined the algebra $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$. This algebra is constructed from a partial C^* -dynamical system $(C(X), \alpha, L)$ induced by a local homeomorphism $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$, where U is an open subset of a compact topological Hausdorff space X . More specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : C(X) &\rightarrow C^b(U) \\ f &\mapsto f \circ \sigma \end{aligned}$$

where $C^b(U)$ is the space of all continuous bounded functions in U and $L : C_c(U) \rightarrow C(X)$ ($C_c(U)$ is the set of the continuous functions with compact support in U) is defined by

$$L(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(x)} f(y) & \text{if } x \in \sigma(U) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $f \in C_c(U)$ and $x \in X$.

In [4] it was defined the algebra $C^*(X, \alpha)$, called covariance algebra. This algebra is also constructed from a partial dynamical system, that is, a continuous map $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ where X is a topological compact Hausdorff space, U is a clopen subset of X and $\sigma(U)$ is open.

If we suppose that $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ is an local homeomorphism, U clopen (and so $\sigma(U)$ is always open) then (X, σ) gives rise to two C^* -algebras, the covariance algebra $C^*(X, \sigma)$ and the crossed product by a partial endomorphism $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$.

In this paper we identify the transfer operators L_ρ which may occur for α . Moreover we show that the constructions of covariance algebra and crossed product by partial endomorphism are not equivalent, in the following sense: we obtain examples of partial dynamical systems (X_A, σ_A) such that there does not exists invertible function ρ such that $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L_\rho) = C^*(X, \alpha)$.

Given C^* -algebras A and B , if we write $A = B$, we will say that A and B are $*$ -isomorphic.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his thanks to R. Exel for the many stimulating conversations.

2 Transfer operators of X for α

Let $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ be a local homeomorphism and U an open subset of the compact Hausdorff space X . This local homeomorphism induces the $*$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : C(X) &\rightarrow C^b(U) \\ f &\mapsto f \circ \sigma \end{aligned}.$$

Given a positive function $\rho \in C(U)$, for all $f \in C_c(U)$ we may define

$$L_\rho(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(x)} \rho(y)f(y) & \text{if } x \in \sigma(U) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for each $x \in X$. Note that $L_\rho(f) = L(\rho f)$, and since $\rho f \in C_c(U)$ and $L(\rho f) \in C(X)$ (see [3]) then $L_\rho(f)$ in fact is an element of $C(X)$. In this way we may define the map $L_\rho : C_c(U) \rightarrow C(X)$, which is linear and positive (by the fact that ρ is positive). It is easy to see that $L_\rho(f\alpha(g)) = L_\rho(f)g$ for each $f \in C_c(U)$ and $g \in C(X)$. The following proposition shows that if U is clopen in X then every transfer operator for α is of the form L_ρ for some $\rho \in C(U)$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $L : C_c(U) \rightarrow C(X)$ (U clopen in X) a transfer operator for α , that is, L is linear, positive and $L(g\alpha(f)) = L(g)f$ for each $f \in C(X)$ and $g \in C_c(U)$. Then there exists $\rho \in C(U)$ such that $L = L_\rho$.*

Proof.

Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an open cover of U such that $\sigma|_{V_i}$ is a homeomorphism. (such cover exists because U is compact and σ is a local homeomorphism). For each i take an open subset $U_i \subseteq V_i$ such that $\overline{U_i} \subseteq V_i$ and $\{U_i\}_i$ is also a cover for U . Consider the partition of unity $\{\varphi_i\}_i$ subordinated to $\{U_i\}_i$ and define $\xi_i = \sqrt{\varphi_i}$. Since ξ_i is positive for each i then $L(\xi_i)$ is a positive function. Define $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(L(\xi_i))\xi_i$ which is also positive. Given $f \in C_c(U)$ define for each i ,

$$g_i(x) = \begin{cases} \xi_i(\sigma^{-1}(x))f(\sigma^{-1}(x)) & x \in \sigma(V_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Claim 1: $g_i \in C(X)$ for all i

Let $x_j \rightarrow x$. Suppose $x \in \sigma(V_i)$. Since $\sigma(V_i)$ is open we may suppose that $x_j \in \sigma(V_i)$ for each j . Since $\sigma|_{V_i}$ is a homeomorphism then $\sigma^{-1}(x_j) \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}(x)$ in V_i and so $g_i(x_j) = (\xi_i f)(\sigma^{-1}(x_j)) \rightarrow (\xi_i f)(\sigma^{-1}(x)) = g_i(x)$. If $x \notin \sigma(V_i)$ then $x \notin \sigma(\overline{U_i})$, which is closed. Therefore we may suppose that $x_j \notin \sigma(\overline{U_i})$ and so $g_i(x_j) = 0 = g_i(x)$.

Claim 2: $\xi_i \alpha(g_i) = \varphi_i f$

If $x \notin U_i$ then $(\xi_i \alpha(g_i))(x) = 0 = (\varphi_i f)(x)$. If $x \in U_i$ then $\alpha(g_i)(x) = g_i(\sigma(x)) = \xi_i(x)f(x)$ and so $\xi_i(x)\alpha(g_i)(x) = \xi_i^2(x)f(x) = \varphi(x)f(x)$.

Since φ is partition of unity then $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i f = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \alpha(g_i)$, where the last equality follows by claim 2. Then

$$L(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n L(\xi_i \alpha(g_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n L(\xi_i) g_i.$$

We show that $L = L_\rho$. If $x \notin \sigma(U)$ then $L_\rho(f)(x) = 0 = L(f)(x)$ by definition.

Given $x \in \sigma(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\rho(f)(x) &= \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(x)} \rho(y) f(y) = \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(L(\xi_i))(y) \xi_i(y) f(y) = \\ &= \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(x)} \sum_{i: y \in U_i} L(\xi_i)(x) \xi_i(y) f(y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$L(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n L(\xi_i)(x) g_i(x) = \sum_{i: x \in \sigma(U_i)} L(\xi_i)(x) \xi_i(\sigma^{-1}(x)) f(\sigma^{-1}(x)).$$

To see that those two expressions are equal note that the summands are the same.

□

Denote by M_ρ the Hilbert bi-module generated by $C_c(U)$ with the inner product given by L_ρ and by $\widehat{K_{1\rho}}$ the algebra generated by nm^* in $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$. Moreover, denote by $\varphi_\rho : C(X) \rightarrow L(M_\rho)$ the $*$ -homomorphism given by the left product of A by M_ρ .

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\rho, \rho' \in C(U)$ positive functions. If $\ker(\rho) = \ker(\rho')$ then $\ker(\varphi_\rho) = \ker(\varphi_{\rho'})$.*

Proof.

Let $f \in C(X)$. Then $f \in \ker(\varphi_\rho) \Leftrightarrow fm = 0$ for each $m \in M_\rho \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{fg} = f\widetilde{g} = 0$ for each $g \in C_c(U)$. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{fg} = 0$ in M_ρ if and only if $\rho fg = 0$. Then $f \in \ker(\varphi_\rho)$ if and only if $\rho fg = 0$ for each $g \in C_c(U)$. In the same way, $f \in \ker(\varphi_{\rho'})$ if and only if $\rho' fg = 0$ for each $g \in C_c(U)$. Since $\ker(\rho) = \ker(\rho')$ then $\rho fg = 0$ if and only if $\rho' fg = 0$ for each $g \in C_c(U)$. □

Proposition 2.3 *If ρ and ρ' are elements of $C(U)$ such that there exists $r \in C(U)$ such that $r(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in U$ and $\rho = r\rho'$ then $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'})$ are $*$ -isomorphic.*

Proof.

Let us define a $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$ to $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'})$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 : C(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'}) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}.$$

Let $\xi = \sqrt{r}$, and note that for each $g \in C_c(U)$,

$$\|\widetilde{g}\|_\rho^2 = \|L_\rho(g^*g)\| = \|L(\rho g^*g)\| = \|L(r\rho'g^*g)\| = \|L_{\rho'}((\xi g)^*\xi g)\| = \|\widetilde{\xi g}\|_{\rho'}^2.$$

So we may define $\psi_2 : M_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'})$ by $\psi_2(\widetilde{g}) = \widetilde{\xi g}$. Let $\psi_3 = \psi_1 \cup \psi_2$. We show that ψ_3 extends to $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$. For each $f \in C(X)$ and $g \in C_c(U)$ we have

$$\psi_3(f)\psi_3(\widetilde{g}) = f\widetilde{\xi g} = \widetilde{\xi fg} = \psi_3(\widetilde{fg})$$

and

$$\psi_3(\widetilde{g})\psi_3(f) = \widetilde{\xi g}f = \widetilde{\xi g\alpha(f)} = \psi_3(\widetilde{g\alpha f}).$$

Moreover, if $h \in C_c(U)$ then

$$\psi_3(\widetilde{g})^* \psi_3(\widetilde{h}) = \widetilde{\xi g}^* \widetilde{\xi h} = L_{\rho'}((\xi g)^* \xi h) = L_{\rho' r}(g^* h) = L_\rho(g^* h) = \psi_3(L_\rho(g^* h)).$$

So ψ_3 extends to $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$. Let $(f, k) \in C(X) \times \widehat{K_{1\rho}}$ a redundancy with $f \in \ker(\varphi_\rho)^\perp \cap \varphi_\rho^{-1}(K(M_\rho))$. Since $\psi_3(M_\rho) \subseteq M_{\rho'}$ it follows that $\psi_3(k) \in \widehat{K_{1\rho'}}$ and so $(\psi_3(f), \psi_3(k)) \in C(X) \times \widehat{K_{1\rho'}}$. Moreover, given $g \in C_c(U)$ then $\xi^{-1}g \in C_c(U)$ and $\psi_3(\widetilde{\xi^{-1}g}) = \widetilde{g}$ from where $\psi_3(M_\rho)$ is dense in $M_{\rho'}$, and so, since $fm = km$ for each $m \in M_\rho$ then $\psi_3(f)n = \psi_3(k)n$ for every $n \in M_{\rho'}$. Therefore $(\psi_3(f), \psi_3(k))$ is a redundancy. Since $f \in \ker(\varphi_\rho)^\perp$, by the previous lemma, $\psi_3(f) \in \ker(\varphi_{\rho'})^\perp$. Then, since $(\psi_3(f), \psi_3(k))$ is a redundancy of $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L)$ then by [3:2.6], $\psi_3(f) \in \varphi^{-1}(K(M_{\rho'}))$. So $\psi_3(f) \in \ker(\varphi_{\rho'})^\perp \cap \varphi_{\rho'}^{-1}(K(M_{\rho'}))$. This shows that if ϕ is the quotient *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L)$ in $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$ then $\phi \circ \psi_3 : \mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L_\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$ is a homomorphism which vanishes on all the elements of the form $(a - k)$ where (a, k) is a redundancy and $a \in \varphi_\rho^{-1}(K(M_\rho)) \cap \ker(\varphi_\rho)^\perp$. So we obtain a *-homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'}) \\ f &\mapsto f \\ \widetilde{g} &\mapsto \widetilde{\xi g} \end{aligned} .$$

In the same way we may define the *-homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 : \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_{\rho'}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho) \\ f &\mapsto f \\ \widetilde{g} &\mapsto \widetilde{\xi^{-1}g} \end{aligned} .$$

Note that ψ_0 is the inverse of ψ , showing that the algebras are *-isomorphic.

□

Corollary 2.4 *If $\rho \in C(U)$ is a positive function such that $\rho(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U$ then $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$ is *-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$.*

Proof.

Note that the transfer operator L associated to the algebra $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$ is the operator L_{1_U} . Since $\rho = 1_U$ is invertible, taking $r = \rho^{-1}$, by the previous proposition follows the corollary. $\square$

3 Relationship between the Covariance Algebra and the Crossed Product by Partial Endomorphism

We show here that given a partial dynamical system $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$, where U is clopen, there exists an other partial dynamical system $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ (called in [4] the σ -extension of X) such that $C^*(\sigma, X) = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X}, \alpha, L)$. Moreover, if σ is injective then $C^*(\sigma, X) = \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$.

3.1 The Covariance Algebra as an Crossed Product by a Partial Endomorphism

Let us start with a summary of the construction of the covariance algebra. Let $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ a continuous map, $U \subseteq X$ clopen, X compact Hausdorff and $\sigma(U)$ open. Denote $\sigma(U) = U_{-1}$. Consider the space $X \cup \{0\}$, where $\{0\}$ is a symbol, which we define to be clopen. So $X \cup \{0\}$ is a compact and Hausdorff space.

Define $\tilde{X} \subset \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X \cup \{0\}$,

$$\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} X_N \cup X_{\infty}$$

onde

$$X_N = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots) : \sigma(x_i) = x_{i-1} \text{ e } x_N \notin U_{-1}\}$$

and

$$X_{\infty} = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots) : \sigma(x_i) = x_{i-1}\}.$$

In $\tilde{X}$ we consider the product topology induced from $\prod X \cup \{0\}$.

By [4 : 2.2] $\tilde{X}$ is compact. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \quad \tilde{X} &\rightarrow X \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto x_0\end{aligned}$$

which is continuous and surjective. Consider the clopen subsets $\tilde{U} = \Phi^{-1}(U)$ and $\widetilde{U_{-1}} = \Phi^{-1}(U_{-1})$ and the continuous map

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\sigma} : \quad \tilde{U} &\rightarrow \widetilde{U_{-1}} \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto (\sigma(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots)\end{aligned}.$$

Those maps satisfies the relation

$$\Phi(\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x})) = \sigma(\Phi(\tilde{x})).$$

Note that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is in fact an homeomorphism. This homeomorphism induces the *-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : C(\widetilde{U_{-1}}) &\rightarrow C(\tilde{U}) \\ f &\mapsto f \circ \tilde{\sigma}\end{aligned}.$$

So we may consider the partial crossed product $C(\tilde{X}) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1]).

Definition 3.1 (4: 4.2) *The covariance algebra associated to the partial system (X, σ) is the algebra $C(\tilde{X}) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ and will be denoted $C^*(X, \sigma)$.*

Lemma 3.2 *If $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ is injective, U clopen and U_{-1} open then $C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$, where $\theta : C(U_{-1}) \rightarrow C(U)$ is given by $\theta(f) = f \circ \sigma$.*

Proof.

Define $\psi_1 : C(X) \cup M \rightarrow C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ by $\psi_1(f) = f\delta_0$ and $\psi_1(\widetilde{1_U}) = 1_U\delta_1$. It is easy to check that ψ_1 extends to $\mathcal{T}(X, \alpha, L)$. We show that Ψ_1 vanishes on the redundancies. Let (f, k) redundancy with $f \in \ker(\varphi)^{\perp} \cap \varphi^{-1}(K(M))$. By [3: 2.6], $f \in C(U)$. Then $\psi_1(\tilde{f})\psi_1(\widetilde{1_U})^* = f\delta_1 1_{U_{-1}}\delta_{-1} = \theta(\theta^{-1}(f)1_{U_{-1}})\delta_0 = \psi_1(f)$. Take $(k_n)_n \subseteq \widehat{K_1}$, $k_n = \sum_i m_{ni} l_{ni}^*$

where $m_{ni}, l_{ni} \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_1(f) - \psi(k))(\psi_1(f) - \psi(k))^* &= (\psi_1(f) - \psi_1(k))\psi_1(f - k) = \psi_1(f - k)(\psi_1(\widetilde{f}\widetilde{1_U}^*) - \psi_1(k))^* = \\ &= \psi(f - k)(\widetilde{1_U}\widetilde{f}^* - k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(f - k)(\widetilde{1_U}\widetilde{f}^* - k_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by the fact that $(f - k)m = 0$ for each $m \in M$. So, by passage to the quotient we may consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L) \rightarrow C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$. By the other hand, define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 : C(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L) \\ f &\mapsto \widetilde{f} \end{aligned}$$

which is a $*$ -homomorphism. Note that for each $f \in C(U_{-1})$,

$$\widetilde{1_U}\psi_0(f)\widetilde{1_U}^* = \widetilde{1_U\alpha(f)}\widetilde{1_U}^* = 1_U\alpha(f) = \theta(f) = \psi_0(\theta(f))$$

and moreover $\widetilde{1_U}$ is a partial isometry such that $\widetilde{1_U}\widetilde{1_U}^* = 1_U$ and $\widetilde{1_U}^*\widetilde{1_U} = 1_{U_{-1}}$. Then, since $(\psi_0, \widetilde{1_U})$ is a covariant representation of $C(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi' : C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$ such that $\psi'(f\delta_n) = \widetilde{f}\widetilde{1_U}^n$ (see [1: 5]). The $*$ -homomorphisms ψ and ψ' are inverses of each other, and so the algebras are $*$ -isomorphic. □

Corollary 3.3 $C^*(X, \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}, \alpha, L)$

Proof.

Follows by the definition of covariance algebras and by the previous lemma. □

By the following proposition, if σ is injective then the constructions of covariance algebra and crossed product by partial endomorphism are equivalent.

Proposition 3.4 *If $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ is injective then $C^*(X, \sigma) = \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$.*

Proof.

By [4: 2.3] the map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \quad \quad \tilde{X} &\rightarrow X \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto x_0\end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism. Moreover, since $\Phi \circ \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \Phi$ then $C(\tilde{X}) \rtimes_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{Z} = C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$. By the previous lemma $C(X) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L)$.

□

3.2 Cuntz-Krieger algebras

We show examples of partial dynamical system $\sigma_A : U \rightarrow X_A$ such that there does not exists an invertible function $\rho \in C(U)$ such that $\mathcal{O}(X, \alpha, L_\rho)$ and $C^*(X, \alpha)$ are *-isomorphic. The examples are based on the Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix with $a_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote by $Gr(A)$ the directed graph of A , that is, the vertex set is $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A(i, j)$ is the number of oriented edges from i to j . A path is a sequence $x_1, \dots, x_m$ such that $A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$ for each i . The graph $Gr(A)$ is transitive if for each i and j there exists a path from i to j , that is, a path $x_1, \dots, x_m$ such that $x_1 = i$ and $x_m = j$. The graph is a cicle if for each i there exists only one j such that $A(i, j) = 1$.

Let

$$X_A = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}} : A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1 \ \forall i\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_A : \quad \quad X_A &\rightarrow X_A \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots)\end{aligned}.$$

Consider the set

$$\overline{X_A} = \{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1 \ \forall i\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and the map $\overline{\sigma_A} : \overline{X_A} \rightarrow \overline{X_A}$ defined by $\overline{\sigma_A}((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. It is showed in [4: 2.8] that there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{X_A} \rightarrow \overline{X_A}$ such that $\Phi \circ \widetilde{\sigma_A} = \overline{\sigma_A} \circ \Phi$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X_A}, \alpha, L) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$ and so $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$. So we may analyze the ideal structure of $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A)$ by using the theory developed for $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$ in [3]. This theory

is based on the $\overline{\sigma_A}, \overline{\sigma_A}^{-1}$ invariant open subsets of $\overline{X_A}$. (In a system $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$, a subset $V \subseteq X$ is σ, σ^{-1} invariant if $\sigma(U \cap V) \subseteq V$ and $\sigma^{-1}(V) \subseteq V$).

Proposition 3.5 *If $Gr(A)$ is transitive and is not a cicle then there exists at least one open non trivial $\overline{\sigma_A}, \overline{\sigma_A}^{-1}$ invariant subset of $\overline{X_A}$.*

Proof.

Let $r = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ an admissible word (that is, $A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$ for each i). Let $V_r = \{x \in \overline{X_A} : r \in x\}$. Note that V_r is open and $\overline{\sigma_A}, \overline{\sigma_A}^{-1}$ invariant. We show that there exists a such non trivial V_r . Take $x_1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Consider an admissible word $x_1, \dots, x_m$ where $x_j \neq x_1$ for each $j > 1$ and $A(x_m, x_1) = 1$. Such word exists because $Gr(A)$ is transitive. Let $r = x_1, \dots, x_m, x_1$. Then

$$y = (\dots, x_m, x_1^\circ, x_2, \dots, x_m, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in V_r$$

where x_1° means $y_0 = x_1$.

We conclude the proof by showing that $V_r \neq \overline{X_A}$. Suppose that there exists $y_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $y_0 \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. Let $x_1, y_1, \dots, y_t, y_0, s_1, \dots, s_l$ an admissible word such that $y_j \neq x_1$ and $s_j \neq x_1$ for each j and $A(s_l, x_1) = 1$. Then

$$(\dots s_l, x_1^\circ, y_1, \dots, y_t, y_0, s_1, \dots, s_l, x_1 \dots) \notin V_r.$$

If $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, since $Gr(A)$ is not a cicle, for some x_i there exists x_t such that $A(x_i, x_t) = 1$ and $x_t \neq x_{i+1}$. (if $i = m$ consider $x_{i+1} = x_1$). If $x_t = x_1$ (and so $i \neq m$) consider an admissible word $x_1, \dots, x_i, x_1$ and note that

$$(\dots, x_i, x_1^\circ, x_2, \dots, x_i, x_1, \dots) \notin V_r.$$

If $x_t \neq x_1$ consider an admissible word $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_l$ such that $y_j \neq x_1$ and $A(y_l, x_1) = 1$ (if there does not exists $y_1 \neq x_1$ such that $A(x_t, y_1) = 1$ then $y_1, \dots, y_l$ is the empty word) and so

$$(\dots, y_l, x_1^\circ, x_2, \dots, x_i, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_l, y_1, \dots) \notin V_r.$$

So $V_r \neq \overline{X_A}$.

□

Now we analyse the σ_A, σ_A^{-1} invariant subsets of X_A .

Proposition 3.6 *If $Gr(A)$ is transitive and is not a cycle then the unique open σ_A -invariant subset of X_A are $\emptyset$ and X_A .*

Proof.

Let $V \subseteq X_A$ an open nonempty σ_A invariant subset of X_A . Let $x \in V$ and V_m a open neighbourhood of x , $V_m \subseteq V$,

$$V_m = \{y \in X_A : x_i = y_i \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Given $z \in X_A$ take $r = r_1, \dots, r_t$ a path from x_m to z_1 . Then

$$s = (x_1, \dots, x_m, r_2, \dots, r_{t-1}, z_1, z_2, \dots) \in V_m$$

and since V is σ_A invariant then $z = \sigma_A^{m+t-2}(s) \in V$. So $V = X_A$.

□

According [3] a partial dynamical system $\sigma : U \rightarrow X$ is topologically free if the closure of $V^{i,j} = \{x \in U : \sigma^i(x) = \sigma^j(x)\}$ has empty interior for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \neq j$.

Proposition 3.7 *If $Gr(A)$ is transitive and is not a cycle then (X_A, σ_A) is topologically free.*

Proof.

Suppose that $\overline{V^{i,j}}$ has nonempty interior and $i < j$, $j = i + k$. Let x' be an interior point of $\overline{V^{i,j}}$ and $V_{x'} \subseteq \overline{V^{i,j}}$ open neighbourhood of x' . Take $x \in V^{i,j} \cap V_{x'}$. Since $\sigma_A^i(x) = \sigma_A^j(x)$ then $z_{i+t} = z_{j+t}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and since $j = i + k$ then $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, r, r, \dots)$ where $r = x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_{i+k-1}$. Consider the open subset

$$V_m = \{z \in X_A : z_i = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

where m is such that $m \geq i + k$ and $V_m \subseteq V_{x'}$. Then, if $y \in V_m$ with $y \in V^{i,j}$ then $y = x$.

Therefore $V_m = \{x\}$. We show that there exists $z \in V_m$ with $z \neq x$, and that will be a contradiction. Suppose $y_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $y_0 \notin \{x_i, \dots, x_{i+k-1}\}$. Take a path $s = s_1, \dots, s_t$ from x_i to x_{i+k-1} such that $s_j = y_0$ for some j . Then $z = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, r, r, \dots, r, s, s, \dots) \in V_m$ (where r is repeated m times) but $z \neq x$. Suppose $\{1, \dots, n\} = \{x_i, \dots, x_{i+k-1}\}$. Since $Gr(A)$ is not a cycle then for some x_j there exists $x_t \neq x_{j+1}$ (consider $x_{j+1} = x_i$ if $j = i+k-1$) such that $A(x_j, x_t) = 1$. Let s be a path from x_t to x_{i+k-1} and define $p = x_i, \dots, x_j, x_t, s$. Then

$$z = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, r, r, \dots, r, x_i, \dots, x_j, x_t, p, p, p, \dots) \in V_m$$

(where p is repeated m times) but $z \neq x$. So, it is showed that there exists $z \in V_m$, $z \neq x$. Therefore, $\overline{V^{i,j}}$ has empty interior for each i, j . □

Theorem 3.8 *If $Gr(A)$ is transitive and is not a cycle then $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L)$ are not $*$ -isomorphic C^* -algebras.*

Proof.

By 3.2, $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A) = \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X_A}, \alpha, L)$ and since $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X_A}, \alpha, L) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$ then $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$. By 3.5, $\overline{X_A}$ has at least one non trivial open $\overline{\sigma_A}, \overline{\sigma_A}^{-1}$ invariant subset and by [3: 3.9] $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X_A}, \alpha, L)$ has at least on non trivial ideal. On the other hand, by 3.6, (X_A, σ_A) has no open σ_A, σ_A^{-1} invariant subsets and by 3.7, (X_A, σ_A) is topologically free. By [3: 4.8] $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L)$ is simple. So $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L)$ are not $*$ -isomorphic. □

Corollary 3.9 *If $Gr(A)$ is transitive and is not a cycle then there does not exists transfer operator L_ρ , with $\rho(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in U$ such that $C^*(X_A, \sigma_A)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X_A, \alpha, L)$ are $*$ -isomorphic C^* -algebras.*

Proof.

Follows by the previous theorem an by 2.4. □

References

- [1] R. Exel, *Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partial automorphisms and a generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence*, J. Funct. Anal. **122**, (1994), 361-401.
- [2] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, Vol. 23 (2003), pp. 1733-1750.
- [3] R. Exel and D. Royer, *The crossed product by a partial endomorphism*, Preprint 2004, math.OA/0410192.
- [4] B. K. Kwasniewski, *Covariance algebra of a partial dynamical system*, Preprint 2004, math.OA/0407352

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brasil.

E-mail: royer@mtm.ufsc.br